arXiv:1611.06850v1 [math.PR] 12 Nov 2016

Hyperbolic valued random variables and conditional expectation

Romesh Kumar and Kailash Sharma

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the concept of hyperbolic valued random variables, their expectation and moments. We develop the hyperbolic analogue of Binomial and Poisson distributions. We study some of the properties of expectation on the basis of decomposition of a hyperbolic number into idempotent components. Finally we define conditional expectation of hyperbolic valued random variable and study some of its basic properties. Our random variable can take values which are zero divisors and this is the important part of this study.

Keywords. $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic space, hyperbolic valued random variables, expectation, moments, moment generating function, Binomial distribution, conditional expectation, partition.

1 Introduction

The hyperbolic numbers in the mathematical literature has been called with different names: split-complex numbers, double numbers, perplex numbers and duplex numbers. The set $\mathbb{D}$ of hyperbolic numbers has a partial order relation $\preceq$ which is one of the interesting property of the set $\mathbb{D}$. We can think of random variables taking values in the set of hyperbolic numbers being motivated by the work of Daniel Alpay et.al.[2]

We start with a $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic space $(\Omega, \Sigma, P_{\mathbb{D}})$, where Ω is the set of all possible outcomes of the experiment, Σ is the set of all events and $P_{\mathbb{D}}$ is the $\mathbb{D}$-valued probabilistic measure on Σ measuring the $\mathbb{D}$-probabilities of events. A $\mathbb{D}$- random variable is a function

$$X_{\mathbb{D}} : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

such that

$$X_{\mathbb{D}}^{-1}(D) \in \Sigma$$

for each subset D of $\mathbb{D}$. The expectation of $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ is defined as

$$E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \int_{\Omega} X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}},$$

provided the integral exists. We introduce the conditional expectation of $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ given an event B with $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)$ taking the values which are zero divisors or not zero divisors. The values taken by $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)$ which are zero divisors are of special interest in this work. This work can be seen as a continuation of work of Daniel Alpay et.al. [2]. It seems that the whole probability theory can be generalized in this direction.This work may have important applications in mathematical statistics, thermodynamics and statistical physics as pointed out by Daniel Alpay et.al. in [2].

2 A review of hyperbolic numbers

The ring of hyperbolic numbers is the commutative ring $\mathbb{D}$ defined as

$$\mathbb{D} = \left\{a + bk \mid k^2 = 1, k \notin \mathbb{R}; a, b \in \mathbb{R}\right\}.$$

The †-conjugation of hyperbolic number $z = a + bk$ is given by

$$z^\dagger = a - bk$$

which is additive, involutive and multiplicative operation on $\mathbb{D}$. For given $z = a+bk \in \mathbb{D}$,then $zz^\dagger = a^2-b^2 \in \mathbb{R}$, from which it follows that any hyperbolic number z with $zz^\dagger \neq 0$ is invertible, and its inverse is given by

$$z^{-1} = \frac{z^\dagger}{zz^\dagger}.$$

If, on the other hand, $z \neq 0$ but $zz^\dagger = a^2 - b^2 = 0$, then z is a zero divisor. In fact there are no other zero divisors. Thus the set of zero divisors, denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$, is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}} &= \left\{z = a + bk \mid z \neq 0 \;\; zz^\dagger = a^2 - b^2 = 0\right\} \\ &= \{z = a(1 \pm k) | a \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}\}\end{aligned}$$

There are two very special zero divisors in $\mathbb{D}$ which are

$$e = \frac{1+k}{2}$$

and its †-conjugation

$$e^\dagger = \frac{1-k}{2}.$$

These are mutually complementary idempotent elements in $\mathbb{D}$. The two sets $\mathbb{D}_e = e.\mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{e^\dagger} = e^\dagger.\mathbb{D}$ are principal ideals in the ring $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\mathbb{D}_e \cap \mathbb{D}_{e^\dagger} = \{0\}$ and $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D}_e + \mathbb{D}_{e^\dagger}$. which is idempotent decomposition of $\mathbb{D}$. Every hyperbolic number $z = a + bk$ can be written as $z = a + bk = (a + b)e + (a - b)e^\dagger = v_1 e + v_2 e^\dagger$ which is idempotent decomposition of a hyperbolic number. The algebraic operations of addition, multiplication, taking of inverse, etc. can be performed component-wise. Observe that the sets $\mathbb{D}_e$ and $\mathbb{D}_{e^\dagger}$ can be written as

$\mathbb{D}_e = \{re \mid r \in \mathbb{R}\} = \mathbb{R}e$ and $\mathbb{D}_{e^\dagger} = \{te^\dagger \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\} = \mathbb{R}e^\dagger$. The set $\mathbb{D}$ of hyperbolic numbers is a vector space over the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers with basis$\{e, e^\dagger\}$ which is isomorphic to the linear space of complex numbers over the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers. The set of non negative hyperbolic numbers is

$$\mathbb{D}^+ = \{v_1 e + v_2 e^\dagger \mid v_1, v_2 \geq 0\}.$$

we will need two more sets

$$\mathbb{D}_e^+ = \mathbb{D}_e \cap \mathbb{D}^+ - \{0\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{D}_{e^\dagger}^+ = \mathbb{D}_{e^\dagger} \cap \mathbb{D}^+ - \{0\}.$$

Given $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{D}$, we write $z_1 \preceq z_2$ *whenever* $z_2 - z_1 \ \in \mathbb{D}^+$. This relation is a partial order relation in $\mathbb{D}$ which is extension of total order relation $\leq$ on $\mathbb{R}$.
The hyperbolic valued modulus on $\mathbb{D}$ is defined by

$$|z|_k = |v_1 e + v_2 e^\dagger|_k = |v_1|e + |v_2|e^\dagger \in \mathbb{D}^+,$$

where $|v_1|, |v_2|$ denote the usual modulus of real numbers. The set $\mathbb{D}$ forms a normed linear space with respect to the hyperbolic valued norm(modulus).
We say that a subset A $\in \mathbb{D}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$- bounded set if there exists M $\in \mathbb{D}^+$ such that $|z|_k \preceq M, \forall z \in A$.
Introduce

$$A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, xe + ye^\dagger \in A\}$$

,

$$A_2 = \{y \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists x \in \mathbb{R}, xe + ye^\dagger \in A\}.$$

If A is a bounded set, then A_1 and A_2 are bounded and

$$Sup_{\mathbb{D}} A = SupA_1 e + SupA_2 e^\dagger.$$

Definition 2.1. [3] Let (Ω, Σ) be a measurable space. A function

$$P_D : \Sigma \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

is called a $\mathbb{D}$-valued probabilistic measure or a $\mathbb{D}$-valued probability if

(i) $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) \succeq 0$;

(ii) $P_{\mathbb{D}}(\Omega) = p$, where p takes one of the three possible values 1,e or $e^\dagger$;

(iii) given a sequence $\{A_n\} \subset \Sigma$ of pairwise disjoint events,

$$P_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_n).$$

The triplet $(\Omega, \Sigma, P_{\mathbb{D}})$ is called a $\mathbb{D}$- probabilistic space.
Every $\mathbb{D}$-valued probabilistic measure can be written as

$$P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) = p_1(A) + p_2(A)k = P_1(A)e + P_2(A)e^{\dagger}$$

with

$$P_1(A) = p_1(A) + p_2(A); P_2(A) = p_1(A) - p_2(A).$$

In fact, to define a $\mathbb{D}$-valued probabilistic measure is equivalent to consider on the same measurable space, a pair of usual $\mathbb{R}$-valued probabilistic measures.

Definition 2.2. [3] Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, P_{\mathbb{D}})$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic space and A,B be two events. The conditional probability $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A/B)$ of event A under the condition that B has happened is defined as

$$P_{\mathbb{D}}(A/B) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A \cap B)}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)} \ if \ P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) \succ 0 \ and \ P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}; \\ P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) \ if \ P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) = 0; \\ \dfrac{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A \cap B)}{\lambda_1} e + P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)e^{\dagger} \ if \ P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) = \lambda_1 e, \lambda_1 > 0; \\ P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)e + \dfrac{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A \cap B)}{\lambda_2} e^{\dagger} \ if \ P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) = \lambda_2 e, \lambda_2 > 0. \end{cases}$$

For a fixed B, with $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) \neq 0$, the conditional probability verifies all the axioms of the $\mathbb{D}$- probability, that is, it defines a new $\mathbb{D}$- probabilistic measure on the measurable space (B, Σ_B) where Σ_B is the σ-algebra of the sets of the form $A \cap B$ with $A \in \Sigma$.

3 Expectation and variance of $\mathbb{D}$- valued random variables

In this section, we define expectation, variance and moment generating function of a $\mathbb{D}$- valued random variable and study their properties.

Definition 3.1. Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, P_{\mathbb{D}})$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic space. A function

$$X_{\mathbb{D}} : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

such that

$$X_{\mathbb{D}}^{-1}(D) \in \Sigma$$

for each subset D of $\mathbb{D}$ is called an $\mathbb{D}$-random variable. Thus a $\mathbb{D}$-random variable is a $\mathbb{D}$- valued function on Ω such that

$$\{w : X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) \preceq h\}$$

are events for each $h \in \mathbb{D}$.

Using idempotent decomposition of a hyperbolic number, every $\mathbb{D}$- valued random variable can be written as

$$X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) = X_1(w)e + X_2(w)e^{\dagger},$$

where X_1 and X_2 are real valued random variables on Ω.

Definition 3.2. The expectation of a $\mathbb{D}$- valued random variable $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ on $(\Omega, \Sigma, P_{\mathbb{D}})$, denoted by $E(X_{\mathbb{D}})$, is defined as

$$E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \int_{\Omega} X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}},$$

provided the integral exists. The integral $\int_{\Omega} X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}}$ is given by

$$\int_{\Omega} X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}} = e \int_{\Omega} X_1(w) dP_1(w) + e^{\dagger} \int_{\Omega} X_2 dP_2(w),$$

where X_1 and X_2 are real valued random variables on Ω and P_1, P_2 are real valued probabilistic measures on (Ω, Σ).

The expectation of a $\mathbb{D}$- valued random variable $X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2$ can be written as

$$E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = e.E(X_1) + e^{\dagger}.E(X_2).$$

It is also called mean of $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ and is denoted by $\mu_{\mathbb{D}}$.

Definition 3.3. The variance of a $\mathbb{D}$- valued random variable $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ on $(\Omega, \Sigma, P_{\mathbb{D}})$, denoted by $var(X_{\mathbb{D}})$, is defined as

$$var(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = E(X_{\mathbb{D}} - \mu_{\mathbb{D}})^2 = \int_{\Omega} (X_{\mathbb{D}} - \mu_{\mathbb{D}})^2 dP_{\mathbb{D}},$$

provided the integral exists. The integral $\int_{\Omega} (X_{\mathbb{D}} - \mu_{\mathbb{D}})^2 dP_{\mathbb{D}}$ is given by

$$\int_{\Omega} (X_{\mathbb{D}} - \mu_{\mathbb{D}})^2 dP_{\mathbb{D}} = e \int_{\Omega} (X_1 - \mu_1)^2 dP_1 + e^{\dagger} \int_{\Omega} (X_2 - \mu_2)^2 dP_2,$$

where X_1 and X_2 are real valued random variables on Ω and P_1, P_2 are real valued probabilistic measures on (Ω, Σ).
The variance of a $\mathbb{D}$- valued random variable $X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2$ can be written as

$$var(X_D) = e.var(X_1) + e^{\dagger}.var(X_2).$$

Example 3.4. Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, P_{\mathbb{D}})$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic space. The function

$$\chi_A : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

defined by

$$\chi_A(w) = \begin{cases} \text{p if } w \in A \\ 0 \text{ if } w \notin A, \end{cases}$$

is a random variable called indicator random variable.
where þ=1, e or $e^{\dagger}$.

$$\begin{aligned} E(\chi_A) &= \int_{\Omega} \chi_A dP_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &= 0.P_{\mathbb{D}}(\chi_A = 0) + p.P_{\mathbb{D}}(\chi_A = p) \\ &= 0.P_{\mathbb{D}}(w \notin A) + p.P_{\mathbb{D}}(w \in A) \\ &= 0 + p.P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) \\ &= p.P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) \\ &= P_{\mathbb{D}}(A),\ eP_{\mathbb{D}}(A) \text{ or } e^{\dagger}P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) \end{aligned}$$

according as þ=1, e or $e^{\dagger}$ respectively.

This example shows that expectation of a $\mathbb{D}$-random variable can be zero divisor. If we want to talk about more than one random variable simultaneously, we need joint distributions.
Suppose $X^1_{\mathbb{D}}, X^2_{\mathbb{D}}, ...X^n_{\mathbb{D}}$ are $\mathbb{D}$-random variables defined on some $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic space. If the range of each $\mathbb{D}$-random variable is a countable subset of $\mathbb{D}$, then their joint distribution is a collection $\left\{P^{k_1,k_2,....k_n}_{\mathbb{D}} : k_1, k_2, ...k_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\right\}$ of hyperbolic numbers indexed by n-tuples $(k_1, k_2, ...k_n)$ of non negative integers and is defined as

$$P^{k_1,k_2,....k_n}_{\mathbb{D}} = P_{\mathbb{D}}(X^1_{\mathbb{D}} = k_1, X^2_{\mathbb{D}} = k_2, ..., X^n_{\mathbb{D}} = k_n).$$

But when the range of these random variables is not countable, a sequence of random variables cannot specify their $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic measures.Then we need the distribution function of $X_{\mathbb{D}}$, denoted by $F_{\mathbb{D}}$ and defined for each $h \in \mathbb{D}$ by

$$F_{\mathbb{D}}(h) = P_{\mathbb{D}}(X_{\mathbb{D}} \preceq h) = P_{\mathbb{D}}(\{w \in \Omega \ : \ X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) \preceq h\}).$$

If $F_{\mathbb{D}}$ is differentiable, then $P_{\mathbb{D}}(h) = F^{'}_{D}(h)$ is the $\mathbb{D}$-probability measure of X_D. Thus expectation of a $\mathbb{D}$-random variable X_D is a hyperbolic number defined by

$$E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \begin{cases} \Sigma_h P_{\mathbb{D}}(h),\ if\ X_{\mathbb{D}} \text{ has countably many values;} \\ \int_{\Omega} hP_{\mathbb{D}}(h)dh\ if\ X_{\mathbb{D}} \text{ takes uncountable values.} \end{cases}$$

We know that a $\mathbb{D}$-random variable $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ determines on $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic space $(\Omega, \Sigma, P_{\mathbb{D}})$, two real random variables X_1 and X_2 such that $X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2$.

The distribution of $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ is the distribution of real vector (X_1, X_2). Two $\mathbb{D}$-random variables $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{D}}$ are independent if

$$P_{\mathbb{D}}[(X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2) \in A \times B] = P_{\mathbb{D}}[(X_1, X_2) \in A].P_{\mathbb{D}}[(Y_1, Y_2) \in B],$$

where A and B are subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$. If $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{D}}$ are independent random variables, then X_j, Y_k are independent for all $j, k = 1, 2$.

4 Properties of expectation and variance

(i) The addition theorem. If $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{D}}$ are $\mathbb{D}$-random variables, then

$$E(X_{\mathbb{D}} + Y_{\mathbb{D}}) = E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + E(Y_{\mathbb{D}}).$$

Proof. Since $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{D}}$ are $\mathbb{D}$-random variables, therefore,

$$X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2 \; and \; Y_{\mathbb{D}} = eY_1 + e^{\dagger}Y_2,$$

where $X_1, X_2, , Y_1, Y_2$ are real valued random variables.
Now $X_{\mathbb{D}} + Y_{\mathbb{D}} = e(X_1 + Y_1) + e^{\dagger}(X_2 + Y_2)$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_{\mathbb{D}} + Y_{\mathbb{D}}) &= E[e(X_1 + Y_1) + e^{\dagger}(X_2 + Y_2)] \\ &= eE(X_1 + Y_1) + e^{\dagger}E(X_2 + Y_2) \\ &= e[E(X_1) + E(Y_1)] + e^{\dagger}[E(X_2) + E(Y_2)] \\ &= [eE(X_1) + e^{\dagger}E(X_2)] + [eE(Y_1) + e^{\dagger}E(Y_2)] \\ &= E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + E(Y_{\mathbb{D}}). \end{aligned}$$

□

(ii) If $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-random variable and a , b are hyperbolic numbers, then

$$E(aX_{\mathbb{D}} + b) = aE(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + b.$$

Proof. Let$X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2, a = ea_1 + e^{\dagger}a_2, b = eb_1 + e^{\dagger}b_2.$

$$\begin{aligned} Then \quad E(aX_{\mathbb{D}} + b) &= E[(ea_1 + e^{\dagger}a_2)(eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2) + (eb_1 + e^{\dagger}b_2)] \\ &= E[(ea_1X_1 + e^{\dagger}a_2X_2) + (eb_1 + e^{\dagger}b_2)] \\ &= E[e(a_1X_1 + b_1) + e^{\dagger}(a_2X_2 + b_2)] \\ &= eE(a_1X_1 + b_1) + e^{\dagger}E(a_2X_2 + b_2) \\ &= e[a_1E(X_1) + b_1] + e^{\dagger}[a_2E(X_2) + b_2] \text{ as } X_1 \text{ and } X_2 \text{ are real} \\ &\text{valued random variables and } a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \text{ are real numbers.} \end{aligned}$$

$$Therefore,\ E(aX_{\mathbb{D}}+b) = e[a_1E(X_1)+b_1]+e^{\dagger}[a_2E(X_2)+b_2]$$
$$= (ea_1+e^{\dagger}a_2)[eE(X_1)+e^{\dagger}E(X_2)]+(eb_1+e^{\dagger}b_2)$$
$$= aE(X_{\mathbb{D}})+b.$$

□

(iii) If $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{D}}$ are $\mathbb{D}$-random variables and a , b are hyperbolic numbers, then

$$E(aX_{\mathbb{D}}+bY_{\mathbb{D}}) = aE(X_{\mathbb{D}})+bE(Y_{\mathbb{D}}).$$

That is, E is linear operator. This result can be generalized as if $X^1_{\mathbb{D}}, X^2_{\mathbb{D}}, \ldots, X^n_{\mathbb{D}}$ are $\mathbb{D}$-random variables and $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ are hyperbolic numbers, then

$$E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_iX_i\right) = a_i\sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i)$$

(iv) The Product theorem. If $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{D}}$ are $\mathbb{D}$-random variables, then

$$E(X_{\mathbb{D}}.Y_{\mathbb{D}}) = E(X_{\mathbb{D}}).E(Y_{\mathbb{D}}).$$

Proof. Let $X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1+e^{\dagger}X_2$ and $Y_{\mathbb{D}} = eY_1+e^{\dagger}Y_2$. Then

$$E(X_{\mathbb{D}}.Y_{\mathbb{D}}) = E(eX_1Y_1+e^{\dagger}X_2Y_2)$$
$$= eE(X_1.Y_1)+e^{\dagger}E(X_2.Y_2)$$
$$= eE(X_1)E(Y_1)+e^{\dagger}E(X_2)E(Y_2),$$

as X_j, Y_k are independent for all j,k=1,2.
Therefore,
$E(X_{\mathbb{D}}.Y_{\mathbb{D}}) = [eE(X_1)+e^{\dagger}E(X_2)][E(X_1)+e^{\dagger}E(X_2)] = E(X_{\mathbb{D}}.Y_{\mathbb{D}})$. This result can also be generalized for any number of variables.

□

(v) If $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-random variable, then $var(aX_{\mathbb{D}}+b) = a^2.var(X_{\mathbb{D}})$, where a and b are constants.

Proof. $var(aX_{\mathbb{D}}+b) = e.var(a_1X_1+b_1)+e^{\dagger}.var(a_2X_2+b_2)$, where

$$a = ea_1+e^{\dagger}a_2, b = eb_1+e^{\dagger}b_2 \text{ and } X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1+e^{\dagger}X_2.$$

Therefore,

$$var(aX_{\mathbb{D}}+b) = ea_1^2var(X_1)+e^{\dagger}a_2^2var(X_2)$$
$$= (ea_1^2+e^{\dagger}a_2^2)(evar(X_1)+e^{\dagger}var(X_2))$$
$$= a^2.var(X_{\mathbb{D}}).$$

□

(vi) If $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{D}}$ are independent $\mathbb{D}$-random variables, then

$$var(X_{\mathbb{D}} \pm Y_{\mathbb{D}}) = var(X_{\mathbb{D}}) \pm var(Y_{\mathbb{D}}).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} var(X_{\mathbb{D}} \pm Y_{\mathbb{D}}) &= var[e(X_1 \pm Y_1) + e^{\dagger}(X_1 \pm Y_1)] \\ &= evar(X_1 \pm Y_1) + e^{\dagger}var(X_2 \pm Y_2) \\ &= e[var(X_1) \pm var(Y_1)] + e^{\dagger}[var(X_2) \pm var(Y_2)] \\ &= [evar(X_1) \pm e^{\dagger}var(X_2)] \pm [evar(Y_1) + e^{\dagger}var(Y_2)] \\ &= var(X_{\mathbb{D}}) \pm var(Y_{\mathbb{D}}). \end{aligned}$$

□

(vii) Cauchy Schwartz inequality. If $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{D}}$ are $\mathbb{D}$-random variables, then

$$[E(X_{\mathbb{D}}Y_{\mathbb{D}})]^2 \leq E(X_{\mathbb{D}}^2)E(Y_{\mathbb{D}}^2).$$

Proof. Let $X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2$ and $Y_{\mathbb{D}} = eY_1 + e^{\dagger}Y_2$. Consider a hyperbolic valued function of hyperbolic variable h, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Z(h) = E(X_{\mathbb{D}} + hY_{\mathbb{D}})^2 &= eE(X_1 + h_1Y_1)^2 + e^{\dagger}E(X_2 + h_2Y_2)^2 \\ &= eZ(h_1) + e^{\dagger}Z(h_2), \forall\ h = eh_1 + e^{\dagger}h_2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $Z(h) \in \mathbb{D}^+, \forall\ h$ as $(X_{\mathbb{D}} + hY_{\mathbb{D}})^2 \in \mathbb{D}^+, \forall X_{\mathbb{D}}, Y_{\mathbb{D}}$ and h.
Therefore,

$$Z(h_i) \geq 0, for\ i = 1, 2.$$

Now $Z(h_i), i = 1, 2$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z(h_i) &= E(X_i^2 + 2h_iX_iY_i + h_i^2Y_i^2) \\ &= E(X_i^2) + 2h_iE(X_i)E(Y_i) + h_i^2E(Y_i^2), \end{aligned}$$

which are quadratic polynomials in h_1 and h_2 respectively. Therefore,

$$Z(h_i) \geq 0,\ i = 1, 2.$$

This implies that the graphs of real polynomial functions $Z(h_1)$ and $Z(h_2)$ lie on or above h_1 and h_2-axis respectively.
Therefore,

$$[2E(X_iY_i)]^2 - 4E(X_i^2)E(Y_i^2) \preceq 0, i = 1, 2.$$

This implies that

$$[E(X_iY_i)]^2 \preceq E(X_i^2)E(Y_i^2), i = 1, 2.$$

and so $[E(X_{\mathbb{D}}Y_{\mathbb{D}})]^2 \preceq E(X_{\mathbb{D}}^2)E(Y_{\mathbb{D}}^2)$. □

Remark 4.1.

(i) Equality holds in above inequality

$$\text{iff } [E(X_{\mathbb{D}} + hY_{\mathbb{D}})]^2 = 0, \forall h.$$
$$\text{iff } P_{\mathbb{D}}[(E(X_{\mathbb{D}} + hY_{\mathbb{D}}))^2 = 0] = p, \text{where } p = 1, e \text{ or } e^{\dagger}.$$
$$\text{iff } eP_1(X_1 + h_1Y_1 = 0) + e^{\dagger}P_2(X_2 + h_2Y_2 = 0) = p.$$

For p=1, we have $P_i(X_i + h_iY_i = 0) = 1$ implies that $P_i(Y_i = \frac{-X_i}{Y_i}) = 1$ Therefore,

$$P_i(Y_i = k_iX_i) = 1, \ , i = 1, 2 \text{ , where } k_i = \frac{-1}{h_i}.$$

For p=e, we have $P_1(X_1 + h_1Y_1 = 0) = 1$ and $P_2(X_2 + h_2Y_2 = 0) = 0$.This implies that $P_1(Y_1 = k_1X_1) = 1$ and $P_2(Y_2 = k_2X_2) = 0$.
For $p = e^{\dagger}$, we have $P_1(Y_1 = k_1X_1) = 0$ and $P_2(Y_2 = k_2X_2) = 1$.

(ii) Replacing $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ by $X_{\mathbb{D}} - E(X_{\mathbb{D}})$ and taking $Y_{\mathbb{D}} = 1$, we have

$$[E(X_{\mathbb{D}} - E(X_{\mathbb{D}}))]^2 \preceq [E(X_{\mathbb{D}} - E(X_{\mathbb{D}}))]^2.E(1) = p.\, var(X_{\mathbb{D}})$$

as $E(1) = \int_{\Omega} 1.dP_{\mathbb{D}} = P_{\mathbb{D}}(\Omega) = p$.
Therefore, (mean deviation abour mean)$^2 \preceq p\, var(X_{\mathbb{D}})$, which yields that $M.D. \preceq p.\, S.D.$

5 Moments and Moment Generating function

Now we define moments and moment generating function of a $\mathbb{D}$- random variable.

Definition 5.1. The rth moment of a $\mathbb{D}$- random variable about any point $X_{\mathbb{D}} = a$, denoted by $\mu_r^{'}$ is given by $\mu_r^{'} = E(X_{\mathbb{D}} - a)^r$ and rth moment of $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ about mean $\mu_{\mathbb{D}} = E(X_{\mathbb{D}})$, denoted by μ_r is given by $\mu_r = E(X_{\mathbb{D}} - \mu_{\mathbb{D}})^r$.

Definition 5.2. Let $X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2$ be a $\mathbb{D}$- random variable taking countable values, where X_1 and X_2 are real random variables taking countable values with moment generating functions $M_{X_1}(t_1)$ and $M_{X_2}(t_2)$ respectively.Then moment generating function of $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ (if it exists), denoted by $M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}(t)$, is defined as

$$M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}(t) = eM_{X_1}(t) + e^{\dagger}M_{X_2}(t),$$

where $t = et_1 + e^{\dagger}t_2$.

We have

$$M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}(t) = e\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_1^k}{k!}\lambda_k^{'} + e^{\dagger}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_2^k}{k!}\delta_k^{'},$$

where $\lambda_k^{'}$ and $\delta_k^{'}$ are kth moments about origin of X_1 and X_2 respectively.

Therefore,

$$M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{et_1^k + e^{\dagger}t_2^k}{k!}(e\lambda_k^{'} + e^{\dagger}\delta_k^{'}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!}\mu_k^{'}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $t^k = et_1^k + e^{\dagger}t_2^k, \mu_k^{'} = e\lambda_k^{'} + e^{\dagger}\delta_k^{'}$ is the kth moment about origin of $X_{\mathbb{D}}$, k=1,2,3, ... Thus we see that the coefficient of $\frac{t_1^k}{k!}$ in $M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}(t)$ gives $\mu_k^{'}$. Since $M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}(t)$ generates moments, it is known as moment generating function. Differentiating (5.1) with respect to t and putting t=0, we get

$$[\frac{d^r}{dt^r}M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}(t)]_{t=0} = [\frac{\mu_r^{'}}{r!}.r! + t{\mu_{r+1}}^{'} + \frac{t^2}{2!}{\mu_{r+1}}^{'} + \ldots]_{t=0} = {\mu_r}^{'}$$

This implies that

$${\mu_r}^{'} = [\frac{d^r}{dt^r}M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}(t)]_{t=0}.$$

The moment generating function $M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}(t)$ of a $\mathbb{D}$-random variable satisfies the following properties:

(i) $M_{hX_{\mathbb{D}}}(t) = M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}(ht)$, where $h = eh_1 + e^{\dagger}h_2$ is a hyperbolic number.

Proof. $M_{hX_{\mathbb{D}}}(t) = eM_{h_1X_1}(t_1) + e^{\dagger}M_{h_2X_2}(t_2) = eM_{X_1}(h_1t_1) + e^{\dagger}M_{h_2X_2}(h_2t_2)$. Therefore,

$$M_{hX_{\mathbb{D}}}(t) = M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}(ht).$$

□

(ii) The moment generating function of sum of number of independent $\mathbb{D}$-random variables is equal to the product of their respective moment generating functions.

Proof. Let $X_{\mathbb{D}}^1, X_{\mathbb{D}}^2, \ldots X_{\mathbb{D}}^n$ be $\mathbb{D}$-random variables which are independent.Then $X_{\mathbb{D}}^k = eX_1^k + e^{\dagger}X_2^k$, k=1,2,..., n. Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{\mathbb{D}}^k = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(eX_1^k + e^{\dagger}X_2^k) = e\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_1^k + e^{\dagger}\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_2^k.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\sum_{k=1}^n X_{\mathbb{D}}^k}(t) &= eM_{\sum_{k=1}^n (eX_1^k}(t_1) + eM_{\sum_{k=1}^n (eX_2^k}(t_2) \\ &= e\prod_{k=1}^{n} M_{X_1}^k(t_1) + e^{\dagger}\prod_{k=1}^{n} M_{X_2}^k(t_2) \end{aligned}$$

because $M_{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(t)$ if $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ are real independent random variables.Thus

$$M_{\sum_{k=1}^n X_{\mathbb{D}}^k}(t) = \prod_{k=1}^{n}[eM_{X_1}^k(t_1) + e^{\dagger}M_{X_2}^k(t_2)] = \prod_{k=1}^{n} M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}^k(t).$$

(iii) The moment generating function of a $\mathbb{D}$-random variable, if it exists, uniquely determines the distribution of $\mathbb{D}$- random variable. Hence $M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}(t) = M_{Y_{\mathbb{D}}}(t)$ iff $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{D}}$ are identically distributed.

□

6 Hyperbolic valued probability distributions

Hyperbolic Bernoulli distribution

Let X_1 and X_2 be two Bernoulli variables on the same measurable space (Ω, Σ). Let $P_1(x_1) = (1-p_1)^{1-x_1}p_1^{x_1}$ and $P_2(x_2) = (1-p_2)^{1-x_2}p_2^{x_2}$ be their respective probability measures,$0 \leq p_i \leq 1, i = 1, 2$ and $x_i = 0, 1$ for i=1,2.
Then the $\mathbb{D}$- random variable $X_{\mathbb{D}} = (eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2)\text{þ}$ having $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic measure given by

$$P_{\mathbb{D}}(X_{\mathbb{D}} = x) = [eP_1(x) + e^{\dagger}P_2(x)]\text{þ}$$

is called $\mathbb{D}$-Bernoulli variable and the probability distribution of $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ is called hyperbolic bernoulli distribution,where þ takes one of the values 1,e or $e^{\dagger}$.

Remark 6.1. (i) If $X_1 = X_2 = X_{\mathbb{D}}$ and þ=1, then$X_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a real valued bernoulli variable.

(ii) If þ= e, then $X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1$ and $P_{\mathbb{D}}(X_{\mathbb{D}} = x) = eP_1(x)$.

(iii) If þ= $e^{\dagger}$, then $X_{\mathbb{D}} = e^{\dagger}X_2$ and $P_{\mathbb{D}}(X_{\mathbb{D}} = x) = e^{\dagger}P_2(x)$.

Moments of hyperbolic Bernoulli distribution

The rth moment about origin is

$$\mu_r^{'} = E({X_{\mathbb{D}}}^r) = E[(e{X_1}^r + e^{\dagger}{X_2}^r)\text{þ}^r] = e\text{þ}^r E({X_1}^r) + e^{\dagger}\text{þ}^r E({X_2}^r) = e\text{þ}^r p_1 + e^{\dagger}\text{þ}^r p_2$$

Therfore,

$$\mu_r^{'} = \text{þ}^r(ep_1 + e^{\dagger}p_2), \text{where } E(X_i) = p_i, i = 1, 2.$$

(i) If þ= 1, then $\mu_r^{'} = ep_1 + e^{\dagger}p_2$. Therefore,

$$\mu_1^{'} = ep_1 + e^{\dagger}p_2 \text{ and } \mu_2^{'} = ep_1 + e^{\dagger}p_2.$$

This gives

$$E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \mu_1^{'} = ep_1 + e^{\dagger}p_2 = eE(X_1) + e^{\dagger}E(X_2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} var(X_{\mathbb{D}}) &= \mu_2^{'} - {\mu_1^{'}}^2 \\ &= ep_1(1-p_1) + e^{\dagger}p_2(1-p_2) \\ &= ep_1q_1 + e^{\dagger}p_2q_2 \\ &= evar(X_1) + e^{\dagger}var(X_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $q_i = 1 - p_i$, i=1,2.

(ii) If þ= e, then $X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1$ and $\mu_r^{'} = ep_1$.This gives

$$E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = e.p_1 = eE(_1) \text{ and } var(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = e(p_1 - {p_1}^2) = ep_1(1-p_1) = ep_1q_1 = e.var(X_1).$$

(iii) If þ= $e^{\dagger}$, then $X_{\mathbb{D}} = e^{\dagger}X_2$. This gives

$$E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = e^{\dagger}.p_2 = e^{\dagger}E(X_2) \text{ and } var(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = e^{\dagger}.var(X_2).$$

Hyperbolic Binomial distribution

Let X_1 and X_2 be two Binomial variables with probabilistic measures $P_1(x_1) = C(n_1, x_1)p_1^{x_1}q_1^{n_1-x_1}$ and $P_2(x_2) = C(n_2, x_2)p_2^{x_2}q_2^{n_2-x_2}$ respectively, where $x_i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n_i, i = 1, 2$. Here $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$ and $q_i = 1 - p_i, i = 1, 2$. The numbers n_i, p_i are parameters of distributions of X_i, i=1,2.
The $\mathbb{D}$- random variable $X_{\mathbb{D}} = (eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2)$þ taking $n_1.n_2$ values in $\mathbb{D}^+ \cup \{0\}$ is said to be $\mathbb{D}$-Binomial variable if its $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic measure is given by

$$P_{\mathbb{D}}(X_{\mathbb{D}} = x) = \begin{cases} [eP_1(x) + e^{\dagger}P_2(x)]\text{þ}, x = ex_1 + e^{\dagger}x_2; \\ 0, \qquad\qquad otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Here $n = en_1 + e^{\dagger}n_2, p = ep_1 + e^{\dagger}p_2$, are called parameters of the distribution and þ can take any of the values 1, e or $e^{\dagger}$.

Hyperbolic Poisson distribution

Let X_1 and X_2 be two Poisson variables with probabilistic measures

$$P_1(x_1) = \frac{\exp\{-\lambda_1\}\,{\lambda_1}^{x_1}}{x_1!} \text{ and } P_2(x_2) = \frac{\exp\{-\lambda_2\}\,{\lambda_2}^{x_2}}{x_2!}$$

respectively, where $x_i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots; \lambda_i > 0, i = 1, 2$. Then the $\mathbb{D}$- random variable $X_{\mathbb{D}} = (eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2)$þ having $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic measure given by

$$P_{\mathbb{D}}(X_{\mathbb{D}} = x) = [eP_1(x) + e^{\dagger}P_2(x)]\text{þ}, \; x = ex_1 + e^{\dagger}x_2 \in \mathbb{D}^+ \cup \{0\}$$

is called $\mathbb{D}$-Poisson variable and the distribution is called Hyperbolic Poisson distribution, where þ=1, e or $e^{\dagger}$.

Remark 6.2. For $X_1 = X_2 = X_{\mathbb{D}}$ and þ=1, these distributions are usual Binomial and Poisson distributions respectively.

Hyperbolic binomial distribution tends to Hyperbolic Poisson distribution under the following conditions:

(i) $n_i \to \infty, i = 1, 2.$

(ii) $p_i \to 0, i = 1, 2.$

(iii) $n_i p_i = \lambda_i$(say) is finite, i=1,2.

Let $X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2$ and $Y_{\mathbb{D}} = eY_1 + e^{\dagger}Y_2$ be $\mathbb{D}$-Poisson variates.Then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{X_{\mathbb{D}}+Y_{\mathbb{D}}} &= M_{e(X_1+Y_1)+e^{\dagger}(X_2+Y_2)}(et_1 + e^{\dagger}t_2) \\ &= eM_{X_1+Y_1}(t) + e^{\dagger}M_{X_2+Y_2}(t), \end{aligned}$$

which is moment generating function of $\mathbb{D}$-Poisson variable as $X_1 + Y_1$ and $X_2 + Y_2$ are Poisson variables.
This shows that $X_{\mathbb{D}} + Y_{\mathbb{D}}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-Poisson variable which is additive property of $\mathbb{D}$-Poisson variables.

7 Conditional Expectation

In this section, we define hyperbolic valued conditional expectation. The results and their proofs in this chapter are essentially based on the work [11, chapter 1].

Definition 7.1. Let $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-random variable on a $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic space $(\Omega, \Sigma, P_{\mathbb{D}})$. If an event B, with $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) \succ 0$ has taken place, then the conditional expectation of $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ given B, denoted by $E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})$ or $E(X_{\mathbb{D}}/B)$ is defined as:

(i) $E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)} \int_B X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)$ if $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) \succ 0$ and $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$;

(ii) $E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = E(X_{\mathbb{D}})$ if $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) = 0$;

(iii) $E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \int_B \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_1} e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e^{\dagger}$ if $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) = \lambda_1 e, \lambda_1 > 0$;

(iv) $E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e + \int_B \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_2} e^{\dagger}$ if $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) = \lambda_2 e^{\dagger}, \lambda_2 > 0$.

The items (iii) and (iv) are in complete agreement with (i).
Writing $E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = eE(X_1) + e^{\dagger}E(X_2)$ and item (i) in idempotent representation reads:

$$E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \frac{e}{P_1(B)} \int_B X_1(w) dP_1(w) + \frac{e^{\dagger}}{P_2(B)} \int_B X_2(w) dP_2(w) = eE_B(X_1) + e^{\dagger}E_B(X_2).$$

Now items (iii) and (iv) reads:

$$\begin{aligned} E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}}) &= \int_B \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_1} e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e^{\dagger} \\ &= \frac{e \int_B X_1(w) dP_1(w) + e^{\dagger} \int_B X_2(w) dP_2(w)}{\lambda_1} e + [eE(X_1) + e^{\dagger}E(X_2)]e^{\dagger} \\ &= \frac{e}{P_1(B)} \int_B X_1(w) dP_1(w) + e^{\dagger}E(X_2) \\ &= eE_B(X_1) + e^{\dagger}E_B(X_2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}}) &= E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e + \int_B \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_2}e^{\dagger} \\ &= E(X_1)e + \int_B \frac{X_2(w)dP_2(w)}{P_2(B)}e^{\dagger} \\ &= eE_B(X_1) + e^{\dagger}E_B(X_2). \end{aligned}$$

The conditional expectation of a $\mathbb{D}$-random variable $X_{\mathbb{D}} = eX_1 + e^{\dagger}X_2$ can be written as

$$E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = eE_B(X_1) + e^{\dagger}E_B(X_2)$$

Theorem 7.2. *Let A and B be two mutually exclusive events, then*

$$E_{A\cup B}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \frac{[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})]}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)}\text{þ},$$

where þ *=1, e or $e^{\dagger}$.*

Proof. Consider the different cases that arise:

(a) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B) \succ 0$ and $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{A\cup B}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) &= \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)}\int_{A\cup B} X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)}\int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w) + \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)}\int_B X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w). \end{aligned}$$

(i) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) \succ 0, P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) \succ 0$ and $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A), P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{A\cup B}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) &= \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)}P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)}P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)}[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}} + P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})]. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) \succ 0, P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) = 0$ and $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{A\cup B}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) &= \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)}\int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w) + 0 \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)}[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})]. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) \succ 0, P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) = 0$ and $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{A\cup B}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) &= \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)}\int_B X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)}[P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + 0.E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}})]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$E_{A\cup B}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)}[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})].$$

In this case,

$$E_{A\cup B}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)}[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}} + P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})].$$

(b) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B) = 0$, then $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) = P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) = 0$ and $E_{A\cup B}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = E(X_{\mathbb{D}})$. The result holds in this case.

(c) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B) = \lambda_1 e$ with $\lambda_1 > 0$, then $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) = \mu_1 e$ and $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) = \mu_2 e$ with $\mu_1 > 0$ or $\mu_2 > 0$ or both $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$, where $\mu_1 + \mu_2 = \lambda_1$.

(i) If $\mu_1 > 0$ and $\mu_2 = 0$, then $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) = 0$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{A\cup B}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) &= \int_{A\cup B} \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_1} e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e^{\dagger} \\
&= [\int_A \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_1} + \int_B \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_1}]e + E(X_2)e^{\dagger} \\
&= \int_A \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\mu_1 + 0} e + E(X_2)e^{\dagger} \\
&= [\int_A \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\mu_1} e + E(X_2)e^{\dagger}]\frac{\mu_1 e}{\mu_1 e} \\
&= \frac{[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}})}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)} \\
&= \frac{[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})]}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)} \text{ as } P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $\mu_1 = 0$ and $\mu_2 > 0$, then $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) = 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{A\cup B}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) &= \int_{A\cup B} \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_1} e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e^{\dagger} \\
&= [\int_A \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_1} + \int_B \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_1}]e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e^{\dagger} \\
&= \int_B \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_1} e + E(X_2)e^{\dagger} \\
&= [\int_B \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\mu_2} e + E(X_2)e^{\dagger}]\frac{\mu_2 e}{\mu_2 e} \\
&= \frac{P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)} \\
&= \frac{[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})]}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cup B)} \text{ as } P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $\mu_1 > 0$ and $\mu_2 > 0$, then $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) = \mu_1 e$ and $P_{\mathbb{D}}(B) = \mu_2 e$ Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})]}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A \cup B)} \\
&= \frac{\mu_1 e[\int_A \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\mu_1} e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e^{\dagger}] + \mu_2 e[\int_B \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\mu_2} e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e^{\dagger}]}{\lambda_1 e} \\
&= e\frac{[\int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w) + \int_B X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)]}{\lambda_1 e} \\
&= \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\int_{A\cup B} X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})]}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A \cup B)} e &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\int_{A\cup B} X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)e \\
&= E_{A\cup B}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) - E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e^{\dagger}
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{A\cup B}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) &= \frac{[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})]}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A \cup B)} e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e^{\dagger} \\
&= \frac{[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})]}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A \cup B)} e \\
&= \frac{[P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}}) + P_{\mathbb{D}}(B)E_B(X_{\mathbb{D}})]}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A \cup B)} \text{þ,where} \quad \text{þ} = \text{e}.
\end{aligned}$$

(d) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A \cup B) = \lambda_2\, e^{\dagger}$ with $\lambda_2 > 0$, the proof follows analogously.

□

The concept of conditional expectation can be extended if the conditioning is not just for one event, but for a countable collection of events, say $\{A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, P_{\mathbb{D}})$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic space and $\mathbb{P} = \{A_n/n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a partition of Ω. Then $\{P_{\mathbb{D}}(./A_n)/n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a family of conditional probability functions on Σ and the conditional expectation of $\mathbb{D}$-random variable $X_{\mathbb{D}}$, with $E(|X_{\mathbb{D}}|) \prec \infty$, given a partition $\mathbb{P}$ is a countably valued function denoted by $E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})$, with values $\{E_{A_n}(X_{\mathbb{D}})/n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ given by

$$E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_n}(X_{\mathbb{D}})\chi_{A_n},$$

where χ_A is the characteristic function of A.
Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the smallest σ-algebra containing the partition $\mathbb{P}$. Then the generators of $\mathcal{B}$ are of the form $\cup_{k\in J} A_k$ for subsets $J \subset \mathbb{N}, A_k \in \mathbb{P}$. Since $E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})$ is a

random variable relative to $\mathcal{B}$,we can integrate it on each generator A of $\mathcal{B}$ to obtain

$$\int_A E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})dP_{\mathbb{D}} = \text{þ} \int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}\, dP_{\mathbb{D}},$$

where þ =1, e or $e^{\dagger}$.
Let us consider the different cases that arise.

(a) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_n) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}, \forall n$,then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_A E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})dP_{\mathbb{D}} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_n}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) \int_A \chi_{A_n} dP_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &= \sum_{n\in J} \int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}\, dP_{\mathbb{D}}.\frac{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cap A_n}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_n)} \\ &= \sum_{n\in J} \int_{A_n} X_{\mathbb{D}}\, dP_{\mathbb{D}}, \text{ since } A_n \subset A \text{ for } n \in J. \\ &= \int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}\, dP_{\mathbb{D}}\end{aligned}$$

(b) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{n_0}) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$, for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, then $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_n) = \lambda_n e$ or $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_n) = \mu_n e^{\dagger}, \forall n \neq n_0$.
For $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_n) = \lambda_n e$, for all $n \neq n_0$, we have

$$E_{A_n}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \int_{A_n} \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_n} e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e^{\dagger}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_A E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})dP_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_n}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) \int_A \chi_{A_n} dP_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_n}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) P_{\mathbb{D}}(A\cap A_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_n}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_n) \\ &= \sum_{n\in J-\{n_0\}} [E_{A_n}(X_{\mathbb{D}})P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_n) + E_{A_{n_0}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{n_0})] \\ &= \sum_{n\in J-\{n_0\}} \left[\int_{A_n} \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_n} e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}})e^{\dagger}\right] \lambda_n e \\ &\qquad + \int_{A_{n_0}} X_{\mathbb{D}}(w)dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{n\in J-\{n_0\}} \left[e \int_{A_n} X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w) + \int_{A_{n_0}} X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n\in J-\{n_0\}} \left[e \int_{A_n} X_1(w) dP_1(w) + e^{\dagger}.0 \right]$$

$$+ \left[e \int_{A_{n_0}} X_1(w) dP_1(w) + e^{\dagger} \int_{A_{n_0}} X_2(w) dP_2(w) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n\in J} \left[e \int_{A_n} X_1(w) dP_1(w) + e^{\dagger} \int_{A_n} X_2(w) dP_2(w) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n\in J} \int_{A_n} X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)$$

$$= \int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}\, dP_{\mathbb{D}}$$

The proof follows analogously for the other case.

(c) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{n_0}) \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_n) = \lambda_n e$ or $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_n) = \mu_n e^{\dagger}, \forall n$. For $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_n) = \lambda_n e$, for all n, we have

$$E_{A_n}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \int_{A_n} \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_n} e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) e^{\dagger}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_A E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) dP_{\mathbb{D}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_n}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) \int_A \chi_{A_n} dP_{\mathbb{D}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\int_{A_n} \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)}{\lambda_n} e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) e^{\dagger}] \lambda_n e$$

$$= e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_n} X_{\mathbb{D}}(w) dP_{\mathbb{D}}(w)$$

$$= e \int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}\, dP_{\mathbb{D}}.$$

The proof is analogous for the other case.

Theorem 7.3. *Let $X_{\mathbb{D}}$ be a $\mathbb{D}$-random variable on a $\mathbb{D}$-probabilistic space $(\Omega, \Sigma, P_{\mathbb{D}})$ and $\mathbb{P} \subset \Sigma$ be a partition of Ω (of positive probability of each member).If $\mathbb{P} = \{A_{\imath_n} / \imath \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then the existence of $E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})$ implies that $E_{\mathcal{A}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})$ exists for each sub partition $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{\imath_n} / n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{P}$ and one has*

$$E_{\mathcal{A}}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) = \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)} \int_A E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) dP_{\mathbb{D}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_{\imath_n}}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n}/A),$$

where $A = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\imath_n}$.

Proof. we have $E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})(w) = E_{A_n}(X_{\mathbb{D}})(w), w \in A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore existence of $E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})$ implies that of $E_{A_n}(X_{\mathbb{D}}), n \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence of $E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}})$ or $E_{\mathcal{A}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})$. There arise three cases.

(a) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$, then $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n}) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}, \forall\ n$ or $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_{n_0}}) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$ for some n_0.

(i) $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n}) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}, \forall\ n$.

Therefore
$\int_A E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})dP_{\mathbb{D}} = \int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}\ dP_{\mathbb{D}} = P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}})$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}\int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}\ dP_{\mathbb{D}} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\int_{A_{\imath_n}} X_{\mathbb{D}}dP_{\mathbb{D}}\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_{\imath_n}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n})\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_{\imath_n}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n}/A)P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)\end{aligned}$$

and so $\frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)}\int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}\ dP_{\mathbb{D}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_{\imath_n}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n}/A)$.

(ii) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_{n_0}}) \notin \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{D}}$, for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, then $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n}) = \lambda_n e$ or $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n}) = \mu_n e^{\dagger}$ for $n \neq n_0$.

$$\int_A E_{\mathbb{P}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})dP_{\mathbb{D}} = \int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}\ dP_{\mathbb{D}} = P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)E_A(X_{\mathbb{D}}).$$

If $P_1(A_{\imath_n}) = \lambda_n e$ and $P_2(A_{\imath_n}) = 0, \forall n \neq n_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_{\imath_n}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n}/A) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_{\imath_n}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})\frac{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n}\cap A)}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)}\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_{\imath_n}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})\frac{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n})}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)}\\ &= \sum_{n=1,n\neq n_0}^{\infty} E_{A_{\imath_n}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})\frac{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n}\cap A)}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)} + E_{A_{\imath_{n_0}}}(X_{\mathbb{D}})\frac{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_{n_0}}}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)}\\ &= \sum_{n=1,n\neq n_0}^{\infty}\left[\int_{A_{\imath_n}}\frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}dP_{\mathbb{D}}}{\lambda_n}e + E_{X_{\mathbb{D}}}e^{\dagger}\right]\frac{\lambda_n e}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)} + \int_{A_{\imath_{n_0}}}\frac{X_{\mathbb{D}}dP_{\mathbb{D}}}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)}\\ &= \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)}\left[\sum_{n=1,n\neq n_0}^{\infty} e\int_{A_{\imath_n}} X_{\mathbb{D}}dP_{\mathbb{D}} + \int_{A_{\imath_{n_0}}} X_{\mathbb{D}}dP_{\mathbb{D}}\right]\\ &= \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)}\left[\sum_{n=1,n\neq n_0}^{\infty}\int_{A_{\imath_n}} X_{\mathbb{D}}dP_{\mathbb{D}}\right]\\ &= \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)}\int_A X_{\mathbb{D}}dP_{\mathbb{D}},\end{aligned}$$

because $\int_{A_{\imath_n}} X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}} = e\int_{A_{\imath_n}} X_1 dP_1 + e^{\dagger}\int_{A_{\imath_n}} X_2 dP_2 = e\int_{A_{\imath_n}} X_1 dP_1 = e\int_{A_{\imath_n}} X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}}$ as $P_2(A_{\imath_n}) = 0, \forall n \neq n_0$.
If $P_2(A_{\imath_n}) = \mu_n e^{\dagger}$ and $P_1(A_{\imath_n}) = 0, \forall n \neq n_0$, the proof is analogous.

(b) If $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) = \lambda e, \lambda > 0$, then $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n}) = \lambda_n e, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\lambda = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$. Therefore $\int_A X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}} = \sum_{n=1, n\neq n_0}^{\infty} \int_{A_{\imath_n}} X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}} = e\sum_{n=1, n\neq n_0}^{\infty} \int_{A_{\imath_n}} X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}}$ as $P_2(A_{\imath_n}) = 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_{\imath_n}}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n}/A) \\
&\qquad = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{A_{\imath_n}}(X_{\mathbb{D}}) \frac{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A_{\imath_n})}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{n=1, n\neq n_0}^{\infty} \left[\int_{A_{\imath_n}} \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}}}{\lambda_n} e + E(X_{\mathbb{D}}) e^{\dagger} \right] \frac{\lambda_n e}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e \int_{A_{\imath_n}} \frac{X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}}}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)} \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)} e \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_{\imath_n}} X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}} \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{P_{\mathbb{D}}(A)} \int_A X_{\mathbb{D}} dP_{\mathbb{D}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) The proof is analogous for the case when $P_{\mathbb{D}}(A) = \mu e^{\dagger}$ with $\mu > 0$.

□

References

[1] L. Accardi, Quantum probability: an introduction to some basic ideas and trends, Stochastic models, II (2000),Editors D. Hernandez, J.A. Lopez-Mimbela and R. Quezada. Aportaciones Mat. Investig.,Soc. Mat. Mexicana, Mexico,16(2001), 1-128.

[2] D. Alpay, M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro, D. C. Struppa, Basics of functional analysis with bicomplex scalars, and bicomplex Schur analysis, Springer Briefs in Mathematics, XV, 2014, 95 pp.

[3] D. Alpay, M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro, Kolmogorov's axioms for probabilities with values in hyperbolic numbers, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras, DOI 10.1007/s00006-016-0706-6, (2016).

[4] M. E Luna-Elizarraras, C. O. Perez-Regalado,M.Shapiro, On Linear functionals and Hahn-Banach Theorems for hyperbolic and bicomplex modules, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras, 23(2014), No. 4 1105-1129.

[5] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro, D. C. Struppa, A. Vajiac, Bicomplex numbers and their elementary functions, Cubo A Mathematical Journal v. 14(2012),No.2, 61-80.

[6] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro, D. C. Struppa, A. Vajiac, Complex Laplacian and derivatives of bicomplex functions, Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, 7(2013),No. 7, 1675-1711.

[7] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro, D. C. Struppa, A. Vajiac, Bicomplex holomorphic functions: The algebra, geometry and analysis of bicomplex numbers, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Basel, (2015).

[8] P. A. Meyer, Quantum probability for probabilists. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Berlin: Springer Berlag 1993.

[9] K. R. Parthasarathy, An introduction to quantum stochastic calculus,. Monographs in Mathematics 85. Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag (1992).

[10] G. B. Price, An Introduction to Multicomplex Spaces and Functions, 3rd Edition, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.

[11] M. M. Rao, Conditional measures and applications, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993.

Romesh Kumar, *Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, Jammu, J&K - 180 006, India.*
E-mail : *romesh_jammu@yahoo.com*
Kailash Sharma, *Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, Jammu, J&K - 180 006, India.*
E-mail : *kailash.maths@gmail.com*